

## A PARALLEL COUNTER AND A MULTIPLICATION LOGIC CIRCUIT

It is instrumental for many applications to have a block that adds  $n$  inputs together. An output of this block is a binary representation of the number of high inputs. Such blocks, called parallel counters (L. Dadda, *Some Schemes for Parallel Multipliers*, *Alta Freq* 34: 349-356 (1965); E. E. Swartzlander Jr., *Parallel Counters*, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* C-22: 1021-1024 (1973)), are used in circuits performing binary multiplication. There are other applications of a parallel counter, for instance, majority-voting decoders or RSA encoders and decoders. It is important to have an implementation of a parallel counter that achieves a maximal speed. It is known to use parallel counters in multiplication (L. Dadda, *On Parallel Digital Multipliers*, *Alta Freq* 45: 574-580 (1976)).

The following notation is used for logical operations:

^ - AND;

An efficient prior art design (Foster and Stockton) of a parallel counter uses full adders. A full adder, denoted FA, is a three-bit input parallel counter shown in figure 1. It has three inputs  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , and two outputs S and C. Logical expressions for outputs are

$$S = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3,$$

$$C = (X_1 \wedge X_2) \vee (X_1 \wedge X_3) \vee (X_2 \wedge X_3).$$

A half adder, denoted HA, is a two bit input parallel counter shown in figure 1. It has two inputs  $X_1, X_2$  and two outputs S and C. Logical expressions for outputs are

$$S = X_1 \oplus X_2,$$

$$C = X_1 \wedge X_2.$$

A prior art implementation of a seven-bit input parallel counter illustrated in figure 2.

Multiplication is a fundamental operation. Given two n-digit binary numbers

$$A_{n-1}2^{n-1} + A_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + A_12 + A_0 \text{ and } B_{n-1}2^{n-1} + B_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + B_12 + B_0,$$

their product

$$P_{2n-1}2^{2n-1} + P_{2n-2}2^{2n-2} + \dots + P_12 + P_0$$

may have up to  $2n$  digits. Logical circuits generating all  $P_i$  as outputs generally follow the scheme in figure 14. Wallace has invented the first fast architecture for a multiplier, now called the Wallace-tree multiplier (Wallace, C. S., *A Suggestion for a Fast Multiplier*, IEEE Trans. Electron. Comput. EC-13: 14-17 (1964)). Dadda has investigated bit behaviour in a multiplier (L. Dadda, *Some Schemes for Parallel Multipliers*, Alta Freq 34: 349-356 (1965)). He has constructed a variety of multipliers and most multipliers follow Dadda's scheme.

*W  
B2*

Dadda's multiplier uses the scheme in on figure 14. If inputs have 8 bits then 64 parallel AND gates generate an array shown in figure 15. The AND gate sign  $\wedge$  is omitted for clarity so that  $A_i \wedge B_j$  becomes  $A_i B_j$ . The rest of figure 15 illustrates array reduction that involves full adders (FA) and half adders (HA). Bits from the same column are added by half adders or full adders. Some groups of bits fed into a full adder are in rectangles. Some groups of bits fed into a half adder are in ovals. The result of array reduction is

*W2  
B1* just two binary numbers to be added at the last step. One adds these two numbers by one of fast addition schemes, for instance, conditional adder or carry-look-ahead adder.

*B  
W2  
B1* In accordance with the first aspect the present invention provides a parallel counter which is based on algebraic properties of symmetric functions. Each of the plurality of binary output bits is generated as a symmetric function of a plurality of binary input bits.

The symmetric functions comprise logically AND combining sets of one or more binary inputs and logically OR or exclusive OR logic combining the logically combined sets of binary inputs to generate a binary output. The OR and the exclusive OR symmetric functions are elementary symmetric functions and the generated output binary bit depends only on the number of high inputs among the input binary bits. For the OR symmetric function, if the number of high inputs is  $m$ , the output is high if and only if  $m \geq k$ , where  $k$  is the size of the sets of binary inputs. Similarly, the generated output binary bit using the exclusive OR symmetric function is high if and only if  $m \geq k$  and the number of subsets of inputs of the set of high inputs is an odd number. The size of the sets can be selected. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  output bit can be generated using the symmetric function using exclusive OR logic by selecting the set sizes to be of size  $2^i$ , where  $i$  is an integer from 1 to  $N$ ,  $N$  is the number of binary outputs, and  $i$  represents the significance of each binary output.

The sets of binary inputs used in the symmetric functions are each unique and they cover all possible combinations of binary inputs.

Thus in one embodiment of the present invention, each of the binary outputs can be generated using a symmetric function which uses exclusive OR logic. However, exclusive OR logic is not as fast as OR logic.

Thus in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention at least one of the binary outputs is generated as a symmetric function of the binary inputs using OR logic for combining a variety of sets of one or more binary inputs. The logic is arranged to

Thus use of the symmetric function using OR logic is faster and can be used for generation of the most significant output bit. In such an embodiment the set size is set to be  $2^{N-1}$ , where N is the number of binary outputs and the  $N^{\text{th}}$  binary output is the most significant.

In one embodiment of the present invention the circuit is designed in a modular form. A plurality of subcircuit logic modules are designed, each for generating intermediate binary outputs as a symmetric function of some of the binary inputs. Logic is also provided in this embodiment for logically combining the intermediate binary outputs to generate a binary outputs.

Since OR logic is faster, in a preferred embodiment the subcircuit logic modules implement the symmetric functions using OR logic. In one embodiment the subcircuit modules can be used for generating some binary outputs and one or more logic modules can be provided for generating other binary outputs in which each logic module generates a binary output as a symmetric function of the binary inputs exclusive OR logic for combining a plurality of sets of one or more binary inputs.

Thus this aspect of the present invention provides a fast circuit that can be used in any architecture using parallel counters. The design is applicable to any type of technology from which the logic circuit is built.

The parallel counter in accordance with this aspect of the present invention is generally applicable and can be used in a multiplication circuit that is significantly faster than prior art implementations.

In accordance with the second aspect of the present invention a technique for multiplying  $2N$  bit binary numbers comprises an array generation step in which an array of logical combinations between the bits of the two binary numbers is generated which is of reduced size compared to the prior art.

In accordance with this aspect of the present invention, a logic circuit for multiplying  $2N$  bit numbers comprises array generation logic for performing the logical AND operation between each bit in one binary bit and each bit in the other binary number to generate an array of logical AND combinations comprising an array of binary values, and for further logically combining logically adjacent values to reduce the maximum depth of the array to below  $N$  bits; array reduction logic for reducing the depth of the array to two binary numbers; and addition logic for adding the binary values of the two binary numbers.

When two binary numbers are multiplied together, as is conventional, each bit  $A_i$  of the first binary number is logically AND combined with each bit  $B_j$  of the second number to generate the array which comprises a sequence of binary numbers represented by the logical AND combinations,  $A_i$  AND  $B_j$ . The further logical combinations are carried out by logically combining the combinations  $A_1$  AND  $B_{N-2}$ ,  $A_1$  AND  $B_{N-1}$ ,  $A_0$  AND  $B_{N-2}$ , and  $A_0$  AND  $B_{N-1}$ , where  $N$  is the number of bits in the binary numbers. In this way the size of the maximal column of numbers to be added together in the array is reduced.



Figure 8 is a diagram illustrating the logic for implementing the symmetric function OR\_3\_2,

Figure 9 is a diagram illustrating the logic for implementing the symmetric function EXOR\_5\_3,

Figure 10 is a diagram illustrating a parallel counter using the two types of symmetric functions and having seven inputs and three outputs,

Figure 11 is a diagram illustrating splitting of the symmetric function OR\_7\_2 into sub modules to allow the reusing of smaller logic blocks,

Figure 12 is a diagram of a parallel counter using the EXOR\_7\_1 symmetric function for the generation of the least significant output bit from all of the input bits, and smaller modules implementing symmetric functions using OR logic to generate the second and third output bits,

Figure 13 is a another diagram of a parallel counter similar to that of Figure 12 accept that the partitioning of the inputs is chosen differently to use different functional sub modules,

Figure 14 is a diagram of the steps used in the prior art for multiplication,

Figure 15 is a schematic diagram of the process of Figure 14 in more detail,

Figure 16 is a diagram illustrating the properties of diagonal regions in the array,

Figure 17 is a diagram illustrating array deformation in accordance with the embodiment of the present invention and the subsequent steps of array reduction and adding, and

Figure 18 is a diagram of logic used in this embodiment for array generation.

The first aspect of the present invention will now be described.

The first aspect of the present invention relates to a parallel counter counting the number of high values in a binary number. The counter has  $i$  outputs and  $n$  inputs where  $i$  is determined as being the integer part of  $\log_2 n$  plus 1

A mathematical basis for the first aspect of the present invention is a theory of symmetric functions. We denote by  $C_k^n$  the number of distinct  $k$  element subsets of a set

of  $n$  elements. We consider two functions  $EXOR\_n\_k$  and  $OR\_n\_k$  of  $n$  variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  given by

$$EXOR\_n\_k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \bigoplus (X_{i1} \wedge X_{i2} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{ik}),$$

$$OR\_n\_k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \bigvee (X_{i1} \wedge X_{i2} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{ik})$$

where  $(i1, i2, \dots, ik)$  runs over all possible subsets of  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  that contain precisely  $k$  elements. Blocks that produce such outputs are shown on figure 3.

The functions  $EXOR\_n\_k$  and  $OR\_n\_k$  are elementary symmetric functions. Their values depend only on the number of high inputs among  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ . More precisely, if  $m$  is the number of high inputs among  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  then  $OR\_n\_k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is high if and only if  $m \geq k$ . Similarly,  $EXOR\_n\_k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is high if and only if  $m \geq k$  and  $C^m_k$  is odd.

Although  $EXOR\_n\_k$  and  $OR\_n\_k$  look similar,  $OR\_n\_k$  is much faster to produce since EXOR-gates are slower than OR-gates.

In the above representation  $n$  is the number of inputs and  $k$  is the size of the subset of inputs selected. Each set of  $k$  inputs is a unique set and the subsets comprise all possible subsets of the set of inputs. For example, the symmetric function  $OR\_3\_1$  has three inputs  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  and the set size is 1. Thus the sets comprise  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ . Each of these sets is then logically OR combined to generate the binary output. The logic for performing this function is illustrated in Figure 4.

Figure 5 illustrates the logic for performing the symmetric  $OR\_4\_1$ .

When the number of inputs become large, it may not be possible to use simple logic.

Figure 6 illustrates the use of two OR gates for implementing the symmetric function  $OR\_5\_1$ .



Figure 7 similarly illustrates the logic for performing EXOR\_7\_1. The sets comprise the inputs  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6$ , and  $X_7$ . These inputs are input into three levels of exclusive OR gates.

When  $k$  is greater than 1, the inputs in a subset must be logically AND combined. Figure 8 illustrates logic for performing the symmetric function OR\_3\_2. The inputs  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  comprise the first set and are input to a first AND gate. The inputs  $X_1$  and  $X_3$  constitute a second set and are input to a second AND gate. The inputs  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  constitute a third set and are input to a third AND gate. The output of the AND gates are input to an OR gate to generate the output function.

Figure 9 is a diagram illustrating the logic for performing the symmetric function EXOR\_5\_3. To perform this function the subsets of size 3 for the set of five inputs comprise ten sets and ten AND gates are required. The output of the AND gates are input to an exclusive OR gate to generate the function.

The specific logic to implement the symmetric functions will be technology dependent. Thus the logic can be designed in accordance with the technology to be used.

In accordance with a first embodiment of the present invention the parallel counter of each output is generated using a symmetric function using exclusive OR logic.

Let the parallel counter have  $n$  inputs  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $t+1$  outputs  $S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots, S_0$ .  $S_0$  is the least significant bit and  $S_t$  is the most significant bit. For all  $i$  from 0 to  $t$ ,

$$S_i = \text{EXOR\_n\_2}^i(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

It can thus be seen that for a seven bit input i.e.  $n=7$ ,  $i$  will have values of 0, 1 and 2. Thus to generate the output  $S_0$  the function will be EXOR\_7\_1, to generate the output  $S_1$  the function will be EXOR\_7\_2 and to generate the output  $S_2$  the function will be EXOR\_7\_4. Thus for the least significant bit the set size ( $k$ ) is 1, for the second bit the

This is more practical since OR\_n\_k functions are faster than EXOR\_n\_k functions. For the most significant output bit

In particular, with a seven-bit input

Thus in this second embodiment of the present invention the most significant bit is generated using symmetric functions using OR logic whereas the other bits are generated using symmetric functions which use exclusive-OR logic.

An arbitrary output bit can be expressed using OR\_n\_k functions if one knows bits that are more significant. For instance, the second most significant bit is given by

**In particular, with a seven-bit input**

**A further reduction is**

A multiplexer MU, shown in figure 3, implements this logic. It has two inputs  $X_0$ ,  $X_1$ , a control  $C$ , and an output  $Z$  determined by the formula

$$Z = (C \wedge X_1) \vee ((\neg C) \wedge X_0).$$

It is not practical to use either EXOR\_n\_k functions or OR\_n\_k functions exclusively. It is optimal to use OR\_n\_k functions for a few most significant bits and EXOR\_n\_k functions for the remaining bits. The fastest, in TSMC.25, parallel counter with 7 inputs is shown in figure 10.

Future technologies that have fast OR\_15\_8 blocks would allow building a parallel counter with 15 inputs. A formula for the third significant bit using OR\_n\_m functions is thus:

$$S_{t-2} = (S_t \wedge S_{t-1} \wedge \text{OR\_n\_2}^{t-1+2^{t-1}+2^{t-2}}) \vee (S_t \wedge (\neg S_{t-1}) \wedge \text{OR\_n\_2}^{t-1+2^{t-2}}) \vee ((\neg S_t) \wedge S_{t-1} \wedge \text{OR\_n\_2}^{t-1+2^{t-2}}) \vee ((\neg S_t) \wedge (\neg S_{t-1}) \wedge \text{OR\_n\_2}^{t-2}).$$

A fourth embodiment of the present invention will now be described which divides the logic block implementing the symmetric function into small blocks which can be reused.

An implementation of OR\_7\_2 is shown in figure 11. The 7 inputs are split into two groups: five inputs from  $X_1$  to  $X_5$  and two remaining inputs  $X_6$  and  $X_7$ . Then the following identity is a basis for the implementation in figure 11.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{OR\_7\_2}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \text{OR\_5\_2}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \vee \\ &(\text{OR\_5\_1}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \wedge \text{OR\_2\_1}(X_6, X_7)) \vee \text{OR\_2\_2}(X_6, X_7) \end{aligned}$$

One can write similar formulas for OR\_7\_4 and OR\_7\_6. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{OR\_7\_4}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \text{OR\_5\_4}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \vee \\ &(\text{OR\_5\_3}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \wedge \text{OR\_2\_1}(X_6, X_7)) \vee \\ &(\text{OR\_5\_2}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \wedge \text{OR\_2\_2}(X_6, X_7)), \\ \text{OR\_7\_6}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \\ &(\text{OR\_5\_5}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \wedge \text{OR\_2\_1}(X_6, X_7)) \vee \\ &(\text{OR\_5\_4}(X_1, \dots, X_5) \wedge \text{OR\_2\_2}(X_6, X_7)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is advantageous to split variables and reuse smaller OR\_n\_k functions in a parallel counter. For instance, an implementation of a parallel counter based on partitioning seven inputs into groups of two and five is in figure 12.

Similarly, one can partition seven inputs into groups of four and three. An implementation of the parallel counter based on this partition is in figure 13. One uses the following logic formulas in this implementation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{OR\_7\_2}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \text{OR\_4\_2}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \vee \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_1}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_1}(X_5, X_6, X_7)) \vee \text{OR\_3\_2}(X_5, X_6, X_7), \\
 \text{OR\_7\_4}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \text{OR\_4\_4}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \vee \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_3}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_1}(X_5, X_6, X_7)) \vee \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_2}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_2}(X_5, X_6, X_7)) \vee \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_1}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_3}(X_5, X_6, X_7)), \\
 \text{OR\_7\_6}(X_1, \dots, X_7) &= \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_4}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_2}(X_5, X_6, X_7)) \vee \\
 &(\text{OR\_4\_3}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \wedge \text{OR\_3\_3}(X_5, X_6, X_7)).
 \end{aligned}$$

One needs a method to choose between the implementations in figures 12 and 13. Here is a pneumonic rule for making a choice. If one or two inputs arrive essentially later then one should use the implementation on figure 12 based on partition  $7=5+2$ . Otherwise, the implementation on figure 13 based on partition  $7=4+3$  is probably optimal.

Parallel counters with 6, 5, and 4 inputs can be implemented according to the logic for the seven input parallel counter. Reducing the number of inputs decreases the area significantly and increases the speed slightly. It is advantageous to implement a six input parallel counter using partitions of 6,  $3+3$  or  $4+2$ .

A second aspect of the present invention comprises a technique for multiplication and this will be described hereinafter.

Multiplication is a fundamental operation in digital circuits. Given two n-digit binary numbers

$$A_{n-1}2^{n-1} + A_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + A_12 + A_0 \text{ and } B_{n-1}2^{n-1} + B_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + B_12 + B_0,$$

their product

$$P_{2n-1}2^{2n-1} + P_{2n-2}2^{2n-2} + \dots + P_12 + P_0$$

has up to  $2n$  digits. Logical circuits generating all  $P_i$  as outputs generally follow the scheme in figure 14. Wallace has invented the first fast architecture for a multiplier, now called the Wallace-tree multiplier (Wallace, C. S., *A Suggestion for a Fast Multiplier*, IEEE Trans. Electron. Comput. EC-13: 14-17 (1964)). Dadda has investigated bit behaviour in a multiplier (L. Dadda, *Some Schemes for Parallel Multipliers*, Alta Freq 34: 349-356 (1965)). He has constructed a variety of multipliers and most multipliers follow Dadda's scheme.

Dadda's multiplier uses the scheme in on figure 14. If inputs have 8 bits then 64 parallel AND gates generate an array shown in figure 15. The AND gate sign  $\wedge$  is omitted for clarity so that  $A_i \wedge B_j$  becomes  $A_i B_j$ . The rest of figure 15 illustrates array reduction that involves full adders (FA) and half adders (HA). Bits from the same column are added by half adders or full adders. Some groups of bits fed into a full adder are in rectangles. Some groups of bits fed into a half adder are in ovals. The result of array reduction is just two binary numbers to be added at the last step. One adds these two numbers by one of fast addition schemes, for instance, conditional adder or carry-look-ahead adder.

This aspect of the present invention comprises two preferred steps: array deformation and array reduction using the parallel counter with the accordance with the first aspect of the present invention.

The process of array deformation will now be described.

Some parts of the multiplication array, formed by  $A_i B_j$  such as on figure 15, have interesting properties. One can write simple formulas for the sum of the bits in these parts. Examples of such special parts are on figure 16. In general, chose an integer  $k$ , and those  $A_i B_j$  in the array such that the absolute value of  $i-j-k$  is less or equal to 1 comprise a special part.

Let  $S_i$  be the bits of the sum of all the bits of the form  $A_i B_j$  shown on figure 1. Then

$$S_0 = A_0 \wedge B_0,$$

for all  $k > 1$ .

$$Z = A_1 \wedge B_7 \wedge A_0 \wedge B_6.$$

Array reduction is illustrated in figure 17. The first step utilizes 1 half adder, 3 full adders, 1 parallel counter with 4 inputs, 2 parallel counters with 5 inputs, 1 parallel counter with 6 inputs, and 4 parallel counters with 7 inputs. The three parallel counters (in columns 7, 8, and 9) have an implementation based on  $7=5+2$  partition. The bits X,

Y, and Z join the group of two in the partition. The counter in column 6 is implemented on  $7=4+3$  partition. The counter in column 5 is based on  $6=3+3$  partition. The remaining counters should not be partitioned. The locations of full adders are indicated by ovals. The half adder is shown by a rectangle.

An adder for adding the final two binary numbers is designed based on arrival time of bits in two numbers. This gives a slight advantage but it is based on common knowledge, that is conditional adder and ripple-carry adder.

*Wm B3*  
Although in this embodiment the addition of two 8 bit numbers has been illustrated, the invention is applicable to any N bit binary number addition. For example for 16 bit addition, the array reduction will reduce the middle column height from 16 to 15 thus allowing two seven bit full adders to be used for the first layer to generate two 3 bit outputs and the left over input can be used with the other two 3 outputs as an input to a further seven input full adder thus allowing the addition of the 16 bits in only two layers.

The second aspect of the present invention can be used with the parallel counter of the first aspect of the present invention to provide a fast circuit.

The final counter of the first aspect of the present invention has other applications, other than used in the multiplier of the second aspect of the present invention. It can be used in RSA and reduced area multipliers. Sometimes, it is practical to build just a fragment of the multiplier. This can happen when the array is too large, for instance in RSA algorithms where multiplicands may have more than more than 1000 bits. This fragment of a multiplier is then used repeatedly to reduce the array. In current implementations, it consists of a collection of full adders. One can use 7 input parallel counters followed by full adders instead.

A parallel counter can also be used in circuits for error correction codes. One can use a parallel counter to produce Hamming distance. This distance is useful in digital

00537522 004400

communication. In particular the Hamming distance has to be computed in certain types of decoders, for instance, the Viterbi decoder or majority-logic decoder.

Given two binary messages  $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  and  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$ , the Hamming distance between them is the number of indices  $i$  between 1 and  $n$  such that  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are different. This distance can be computed by a parallel counter whose  $n$  inputs are

$$(A_1 \oplus B_1, A_2 \oplus B_2, \dots, A_n \oplus B_n).$$

The multiply-and-add operation is fundamental in digital electronics because it includes filtering. Given  $2n$  binary numbers  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ , the result of this operation is

$$X_1 Y_1 + X_2 Y_2 + \dots + X_n Y_n.$$

One can use the multiplier described to implement multiply-and-add in hardware. Another strategy can be to use the scheme in figure 14. All partial products in products  $X_i Y_i$  generate an array. Then one uses the parallel counter  $X$  to reduce the array.

In the present invention, one can use the parallel counter whenever there is a need to add an array of numbers. For instance, multiplying negative number in two-complement form, one generates a different array by either Booth recording (A. D. Booth, *A Signed Binary Multiplication Technique*, Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 4: 236-240 (1951)) or another method. To obtain a product one adds this array of numbers.

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176